



Royal Observatory  
of Belgium  
GNSS Research Group

# A Collaborative Approach Toward the Densification of the ITRF Velocity Field

J. Legrand<sup>(1)</sup>, C. Bruyninx<sup>(1)</sup> (WG Chair), M. Craymer<sup>(2)</sup>, J. Dawson<sup>(3)</sup>, J. Griffiths<sup>(4)</sup>, A. Kenyeres<sup>(5)</sup>, P. Rebischung<sup>(6)</sup>, L. Sanchez<sup>(7)</sup>, A. Santamaría-Gómez<sup>(8)</sup>, E. Saria<sup>(9)</sup>, Z. Altamimi<sup>(6)</sup>

(1) Royal Observatory of Belgium, Belgium, (2) NRCAN, Canada, (3) Geoscience Australia, Australia, (4) NOAA/NGS, USA, (5) FOMI, Hungary, (6) LAREG, France, (7) DGFI, Germany, (8) IGN, Spain, (9) Ardh University, Tanzania

Contacts: [J.Legrand@oma.be](mailto:J.Legrand@oma.be) or [C.Bruyninx@oma.be](mailto:C.Bruyninx@oma.be), Royal Observatory of Belgium, Av. Circulaire 3, Brussels, Belgium

AGU Fall Meeting, San Francisco, 9-13 December 2013



IAG Working Group  
"Integration of Dense  
Velocity Fields in the ITRF"

## Introduction

The IAG WG "Integration of Dense Velocity Fields in the ITRF" aims to densify the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) by combining individual weekly solutions from several regional and global analysis centers to derive a cumulative solution (positions, velocities & their associated residual position time series).

The contributing analysis centers are representing the Regional Reference Frame sub-commissions **AFREF** (Africa), **APREF** (Asia & Pacific), **EUREF** (Europe), **NAREF** (North America) and **SIRGAS** (Latin America & Caribbean).

## Data Set

Table 1: List of the weekly solutions submitted to the WG

	AC	Solution	Data span (year)	Data span (GPS week)	Antenna calibrations	# stations (raw)	# stations (selected)	# new stations wrt ITRF2008
IGS	IGS	Global	1996.0-2011.3	834-1630	igs05	1160	721	186
AFREF	AFR	Global	1996.0-2011.3	834-1630	igs08	197	130	72
APREF	APR	Global	2004.0-2011.3	1254-1630	igs08	606	396	102
EUREF	EUR	Regional	1996.0-2011.3	834-1630	igs05 + indiv	296	264	145
NAREF	GSB	Global	2000.0-2011.3	1043-1630	igs05	600	553	444
	NGS	Global	2000.0-2011.3	1043-1630	igs05	2830	1898	1519
SIRGAS	SIR	Regional	2000.0-2011.3	1043-1630	igs05	329	255	189
<b>Total</b>			<b>1996.0-2011.3</b>	<b>834-1630</b>		<b>4077</b>	<b>2803</b>	<b>2251</b>

### Submitted solutions

- weekly SINEXs (cleaned or with a list of the outliers to be removed),
- cumulative solution and associated residual position time series,
- position and velocity discontinuities,
- station site logs (if available).




Figure 1: Map of the network

Stations available in the current combination (1770 stations)  
~ 1000 NAREF-NGS stations not yet included in the combination

### Initial selection of the stations

Data span > 3 years, present in at least 104 weekly SINEXs and present in at least 50% of the weekly SINEXs within the data span

### Exceptions:

- NAREF-NGS: for practical reasons, ~1000 stations are not yet included in the solution and will be added later

SIRGAS stations also available in other solutions are considered in order to stabilize the inclusion of the regional solution during the combination even if the data span is too short

### Metadata issues

- Station naming: DOMES number or 4-char id conflicts
- Large majority of stations with site log but site log information not consistently used during analysis, e.g. antenna height inconsistencies
- Non identical duplicate site logs

### Weaknesses of the dataset

- The mix of the antenna calibration models (igs05.atx, igs08.atx and individual antenna calibrations) is the main drawback of this combination

## Combination of the Weekly Solutions

Individual weekly SINEXs are combined with CATREF [Altamimi et al. 2007]. Preliminary weekly combinations lead to a typical 3D weekly RMS which ranges from 2 mm to 5 mm.

**Data cleaning:** rejection of solutions with incorrect metadata

**Step wise approach:**

- A priori re-weighting ( $\sigma_1$ ) of covariance matrices based on formal errors in SINEXs
- Weekly combinations (only common stations) to determine the transformation parameters (T) and the estimated variance factor ( $\sigma_2$ )
- Final weekly combinations (full network) with fixed transformation parameters (T) and re-weighting based on variance factor ( $\sigma_2$ )




Figure 2: RMS [mm] of the weekly combinations as a function of time (Up in red and 2D horizontal in blue)

## Cumulative Solution

The cumulative solution is combined with CATREF Software and aligned to IGS08.




Figure 3: Map of the network.

Stations available in the current combination (1770 stations)  
Sub-network used to mitigate the aliasing effect [Collilieux et al. 2011]  
(igs08 core network + good stations with more than 10 years of data)




Figure 4: 3D Weekly RMS [mm] as a function of time (COMBINATION, IGS, AFR, APR, EUR, GSB, NGS, SIR)




Figure 5: Residual position time series with respect to cumulative solution of individual weekly regional solutions (left) and weekly combined solution (right).

## Cumulative Solution: Discontinuities

### Discontinuities coming from individual solutions

- majority of common stations have different discontinuities e.g. EUREF vs IGS: only 40% stations in full agreement
- reasons: different data span, approximate date, problem of metadata or antenna modeling affecting one or several solutions, different analyst, lack of standardization

### Harmonization for ~1200 stations in at least 2 solutions

- keep only required discontinuities

### Metadata check

- check all available site logs (material change: date of installation)

### Next steps:

- check also the dates of displacements linked to earthquakes
- feedback to contributors

## Preliminary Velocity Field




Figure 6: Preliminary horizontal velocity field




Figure 7: Preliminary vertical velocity field

## Conclusion/Perspectives

This poster focused on a preliminary combination of regional densification solutions in order to derive a cumulative position and velocity solution for 1770 stations as well as their associated residual position time series.

This preliminary combination was successful:

- Longer, more populated time series
- Increased reliability thanks to redundancy

Next step: finish this combination (add ~1000 stations & improve consistency of discontinuities)

Main drawback: mix of igs05.atx, igs08.atx and individual antenna calibration models

⇒ All contributors will submit new weekly solutions compliant with IGS repro2 in 2014. A new combination will be done in 2014.

## References

- Altamimi et al. (2007) CATREF software: Combination and Analysis of Terrestrial Reference Frames, LAREG Technical, IGN, France
- Altamimi et al. (2011) ITRF2008: an improved solution of the international terrestrial reference frame, Journal of Geodesy, doi:10.1007/s00190-011-0444-4
- Bruyninx et al. (2012) A Dense Global Velocity Field based on GNSS Observations: Preliminary Results, International Association of Geodesy Symposia 136, Geodesy for Planet Earth, pp. 19-26, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-20338-1\_3
- Bruyninx et al. (2013) IAG WG SC1.3 on Regional Dense Velocity Fields: First Results and Steps Ahead, "Reference Frames for Applications in Geosciences 2010", International Association of Geodesy Symposia, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, 138, 137-145-
- Collilieux et al. (2012) Strategies to mitigate aliasing of loading signals while estimating GPS frame parameters, Journal of Geodesy, vol. 86, number 1, page 1-14, doi:10.1007/s00190-011-0487-6, 2012
- Rebischung et al. (2012): IGS08: the IGS realization of ITRF2008, GPS Solutions, 16(4):483-494, doi:10.1007/s10291-011-0248-2.